

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/878,107	06/08/2001	Kouji Shirai	P/2041-62	2375	
7:	590 05/21/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
STEVEN I. W		DAO, MINH D			
•	SHAPIRO, MORIN & OS E OF THE AMERICAS	, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
41ST FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			2682	7	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_								
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
. <b>.</b> •		09/878,107		SHIRAI, KOUJI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		MINH D DA	0	2682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the c	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eventon. a reply within the statuto period will apply and will o statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tin ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 March 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 3-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 3-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from cons						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
4.45	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or	· ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15.	2.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been ments have been priority documen ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	9			
ti a								
Attachmen			\ \[ \] \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08)	i) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)	Y.			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6	6)					

Art Unit: 2682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchison, iv et al. (US Patent 6,449,476) in view of Hoffman (US Patent 6,622,017).

Regarding claim 3, Hutchison teaches a portable telephone (see fig. 1, item 101; col. 3, lines 26-29) in which software features in the main program of the portable telephone can be corrected, the telephone comprising: a read only memory (see fig. 1, item 116) in which a main program for the portable telephone is stored; a volatile memory (see fig. 1, item RAM 114); and means for copying the software features into the volatile memory to create a backup software to be stored in the read only memory (col. 3, lines 53-67; col. 4, lines 1-22). However, Hutchison fails to teach means for loading a patch into the volatile memory, the patch intended to be substituted for a portion of the main program which portion contains a bug. Hoffman, in an analogous art, teaches a means for loading a patch into the volatile memory, the patch intended to be substituted for a portion of the main program which portion contains a bug (col. 6, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2682

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Hoffman to Hutchison in order to download a software patch for the existing module as suggested by Hoffman (col. 6, lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches that the portable telephone of claim 3, further comprising means for replacing a portion of the main program which contains the bug with the backup patch (reference Hoffman, col. 6, lines 60-65).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches means for replacing a portion of the main program which contains the bug with the backup patch (reference Hoffman, col. 6, lines 60-65), but the combination fails to teach means for erasing the backup patch after it has replaced the portion of the main program which contained the bug. However, it is commonly known in the art that memory space of a mobile phone often needs to be available for additional storage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to erase or delete the used backup patch software in order to yield more memory for future needs of the mobile phone.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,107 Page 4

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches the portable telephone of claim 3, wherein the main program stored in read only memory is stored in blocks (reference Hutchison, see fig. 2, item 116, col. 5, lines 36-42).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches the portable telephone of claim 6, wherein the main program stored in read only memory, is rewritable in units of a block (reference Hutchison, see fig. 2, item 116, col. 5, lines 36-42).

Regarding claim 8, the claim has the same limitations as that of claim 1, therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 1, and additionally discloses the limitation "periodically executing at least a portion of the main program" which the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman fails to teach. However, it is obvious in the art that every time the mobile phone is turned on, at least a portion of the software of the unit is executed. Therefore, claim 9 is rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1 and for the obviousness mentioned above.

Regarding claim 11, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches the method according to claim 9, wherein the patch is transmitted to the

Art Unit: 2682

portable telephone from a communications network (reference Hutchison, see fig. 1,

items Programmer 122 and Communication Link 133).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman

teaches the method according to claim 9, wherein the patch is transmitted to the

portable telephone from a personal computer (reference Hutchison, see fig. 1, item 122;

col. 4, lines 27-44).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP this Office action.

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2682

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D DAO whose telephone number is 703-305-5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN C CHIN can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dao Examiner Art Unit 2682 May 13, 2004 May

WIVIAN CHIN
BUBERMBORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6

5/17/04